

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 42134
Carl Homeyer
RN101673929
Docket No. 2011-1278-PST-E

Order Type:

1660 Agreed Order

Findings Order Justification:

N/A

Media:

PST

Small Business:

Yes

Location(s) Where Violation(s) Occurred:

Cooks Point Store, 7025 State Highway 21 East, Cooks Point, Burleson County

Type of Operation:

Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline

Other Significant Matters:

Additional Pending Enforcement Actions: No

Past-Due Penalties: No

Other: N/A

Interested Third-Parties: None

Texas Register Publication Date: November 4, 2011

Comments Received: No

Penalty Information

Total Penalty Assessed: \$9,011

Amount Deferred for Expedited Settlement: \$1,802

Amount Deferred for Financial Inability to Pay: \$0

Total Paid to General Revenue: \$7,209

Total Due to General Revenue: \$0

Payment Plan: N/A

SEP Conditional Offset: \$0

Name of SEP: N/A

Compliance History Classifications:

Person/CN - Average

Site/RN - Average by Default

Major Source: Yes

Statutory Limit Adjustment: N/A

Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 42134
Carl Homeyer
RN101673929
Docket No. 2011-1278-PST-E

Investigation Information

Complaint Date(s): N/A

Complaint Information: N/A

Date(s) of Investigation: July 7, 2011

Date(s) of NOE(s): July 7, 2011

Violation Information

1. Failed to renew a previously issued underground storage tank (“UST”) delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired on September 14, 2010 [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii)].
2. Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs. Specifically, one fuel delivery was accepted without a valid delivery certificate [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(a)].
3. Failed to demonstrate acceptable financial assurance for taking corrective action and for compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damage caused by accidental releases arising from the operation of petroleum USTs [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 37.815(a) and (b)].

Corrective Actions/Technical Requirements

Corrective Action(s) Completed:

The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent:

- a. Obtained a current TCEQ delivery certificate by submitting a UST registration and self-certification form on January 20, 2011; and
- b. Sold the Facility on January 31, 2011.

Technical Requirements:

N/A

Litigation Information

Date Petition(s) Filed: N/A

Date Answer(s) Filed: N/A

SOAH Referral Date: N/A

Hearing Date(s): N/A

Settlement Date: N/A

Executive Summary – Enforcement Matter – Case No. 42134
Carl Homeyer
RN101673929
Docket No. 2011-1278-PST-E

Contact Information

TCEQ Attorney: N/A

TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: John Muennink, Enforcement Division,
Enforcement Team 5, MC R-12, (713) 422-8970; Debra Barber, Enforcement Division,
MC 219, (512) 239-0412

TCEQ SEP Coordinator: N/A

Respondent: Carl Homeyer, P.O. Box 352, Haskell, Texas 79521-0352

Respondent's Attorney: N/A



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

TCEQ

DATES	Assigned	11-Jul-2011	Screening	20-Jul-2011	EPA Due	
	PCW	20-Jul-2011				

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION

Respondent	Carl Homeyer
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN101673929
Facility/Site Region	9-Waco
Major/Minor Source	Major

CASE INFORMATION

Enf./Case ID No.	42134	No. of Violations	3
Docket No.	2011-1278-PST-E	Order Type	1660
Media Program(s)	Petroleum Storage Tank	Government/Non-Profit	No
Multi-Media		Enf. Coordinator	John Muennink
		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 5
Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)	Subtotal 1	\$8,500
---	-------------------	---------

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History	0.0% Enhancement	Subtotals 2, 3, & 7	\$0
---------------------------	------------------	--------------------------------	-----

Notes: No adjustment for compliance history.

Culpability	No	0.0% Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
--------------------	----	------------------	-------------------	-----

Notes: The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply Total Adjustments	Subtotal 5	\$875
--	-------------------	-------

Economic Benefit	0.0% Enhancement*	Subtotal 6	\$0
-------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-----

Total EB Amounts: \$1,388
Approx. Cost of Compliance: \$1,420
*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7	Final Subtotal	\$7,625
-----------------------------	-----------------------	---------

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE	18.2%	Adjustment	\$1,386
---	-------	-------------------	---------

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Notes: Other factors adjustment for the avoided cost associated with Violation No. 3.

Final Penalty Amount	\$9,011
-----------------------------	---------

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT	Final Assessed Penalty	\$9,011
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------	---------

DEFERRAL	20.0% Reduction	Adjustment	-\$1,802
-----------------	-----------------	-------------------	----------

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes: Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY	\$7,209
------------------------	---------

Screening Date 20-Jul-2011

Docket No. 2011-1278-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Carl Homeyer

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 42134

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101673929

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator John Muennink

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written notices of violation ("NOVs") with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (<i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (<i>number of orders meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (<i>number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (<i>number of counts</i>)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (<i>number of events</i>)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which notices were submitted</i>)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i>)	0	0%
Please Enter Yes or No			
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 0%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Average Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance
History
Notes

No adjustment for compliance history.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 0%

Screening Date 20-Jul-2011

Docket No. 2011-1278-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Carl Homeyer

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 42134

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101673929

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator John Muennink

Violation Number 1

Rule Cite(s)

30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii)

Violation Description

Failed to renew a previously issued underground storage tank ("UST") delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date, as documented during a record review conducted on July 7, 2011. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired on September 14, 2010.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			

Percent 0%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor
	x		

Percent 25%

Matrix Notes

100% of the rule requirement was not met.

Adjustment \$7,500

\$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

128 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x

daily	
weekly	
monthly	
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	x

Violation Base Penalty \$2,500

One single event is recommended.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

25.0% Reduction

\$625

Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer

Extraordinary		
Ordinary	x	
N/A		(mark with x)

Notes The Respondent returned to compliance on January 20, 2011, prior to the Notice of Enforcement ("NOE") date of July 7, 2011.

Violation Subtotal \$1,875

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$2

Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,216

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$2,216

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Carl Homeyer
Case ID No. 42134
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101673929
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$100	16-Aug-2010	20-Jan-2011	0.43	\$2	n/a	\$2

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to obtain a current TCEQ delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form. The Date Required is 30 days prior to the expiration date of the delivery certificate. The Final Date is the date that a delivery certificate was obtained.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$100

TOTAL

\$2

Screening Date 20-Jul-2011

Docket No. 2011-1278-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Carl Homeyer

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 42134

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101673929

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator John Muennink

Violation Number 2

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3467(a)

Violation Description

Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs, as documented during a record review conducted on July 7, 2011. Specifically, one fuel delivery was accepted without a valid delivery certificate.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			x

Percent 10%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent 0%

Matrix Notes Human health or the environment could or will be exposed to an insignificant amount of pollutants that would not exceed levels protective of human health or environmental receptors.

Adjustment \$9,000

\$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

1 Number of violation days

mark only one
with an x

daily	
weekly	
monthly	
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	x

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000

One single event is recommended.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

25.0% Reduction

\$250

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary	x	
N/A		(mark with x)

Notes

The Respondent returned to compliance on January 20, 2011, prior to the NOE date of July 7, 2011.

Violation Subtotal \$750

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$0

Violation Final Penalty Total \$886

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$886

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Carl Homeyer
 Case ID No. 42134
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101673929
 Media Petroleum Storage Tank
 Violation No. 2

Percent Interest 5.0
 Years of Depreciation 15

Item Cost Date Required Final Date Yrs Interest Saved Onetime Costs EB Amount
 Item Description No commas or \$

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Economic Benefit ("EB") for Violation No. 2 is included in the EB for Violation No. 1.

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$0

PARTIAL TOTAL

\$0

Screening Date 20-Jul-2011

Docket No. 2011-1278-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Carl Homeyer

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 42134

PCW Revision October 30, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101673929

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator John Muennink

Violation Number 3

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 37.815(a) and (b)

Violation Description

Failed to demonstrate acceptable financial assurance for taking corrective action and for compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damage caused by accidental releases arising from the operation of petroleum USTs, as documented during a record review conducted on July 7, 2011.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential			

Percent 0%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
	X		

Percent 25%

Matrix Notes

100% of the rule requirement was not met.

Adjustment \$7,500

\$2,500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 2

365 Number of violation days

mark only one
with an x

daily	
weekly	
monthly	
quarterly	
semiannual	
annual	
single event	X

Violation Base Penalty \$5,000

Two single events are recommended for the two USTs.

Good Faith Efforts to Comply

0.0% Reduction

\$0

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	X	(mark with x)

Notes

The Respondent does not meet the good faith criteria for this violation.

Violation Subtotal \$5,000

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$1,386

Violation Final Penalty Total \$5,909

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$5,909

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Carl Homeyer
Case ID No. 42134
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101673929
Media Petroleum Storage Tank
Violation No. 3

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Avoided Costs

ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)

Disposal				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]	\$1,320	7-Jul-2010	31-Jan-2011	1.00	\$66	\$1,320	\$1,386
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.00	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Avoided cost to provide financial assurance for two USTs. The Date Required is one year prior to the record review date. The Final Date is the date that the Facility was sold.

Approx. Cost of Compliance

\$1,320

TOTAL

\$1,386

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN603585027 HOMEYER, CARL Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 3.01

Regulated Entity: RN101673929 COOKS POINT STORE Classification: AVERAGE Site Rating: 3.01
BY DEFAULT

ID Number(s): PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION 35503
REGISTRATION

Location: 7025 STATE HIGHWAY 21 E, COOKS POINT, TX, 77836

TCEQ Region: REGION 09 - WACO

Date Compliance History Prepared: July 12, 2011

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Compliance Period: July 12, 2006 to July 12, 2011

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: John Muennink Phone: (713) 422-8970

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership/operator of the site during the compliance period? Yes
3. If Yes, who is the current owner/operator? OWNOPR HOMEYER, CARL
4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)/operator(s)? OWNOPR DOLLERY, STEVE
5. When did the change(s) in owner or operator occur? 09/15/2007 DOLLERY, STEVE
6. Rating Date: 9/1/2010 Repeat Violator: NO

Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgments, and consent decrees of the State of Texas and the federal government.
N/A

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.
N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.
N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
1 07/07/2011 (899897)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)
N/A

F. Environmental audits.
N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).
N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.
N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.
N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
CARL HOMEYER
RN101673929**

**§ BEFORE THE
§
§ TEXAS COMMISSION ON
§
§ ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2011-1278-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its _____ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Carl Homeyer ("the Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. The Respondent owned and operated a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline at 7025 State Highway 21 East in Cooks Point, Burleson County, Texas (the "Facility").
2. The Respondent's two underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about July 12, 2011.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Nine Thousand Eleven Dollars (\$9,011) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid Seven Thousand Two Hundred Nine Dollars (\$7,209) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand Eight Hundred Two Dollars

- (\$1,802) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.
7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
 9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent:
 - a. Obtained a current TCEQ delivery certificate by submitting a UST registration and self-certification form on January 20, 2011; and
 - b. Sold the Facility on January 31, 2011.
 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
 12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As the previous owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to renew a previously issued UST delivery certificate by submitting a properly completed UST registration and self-certification form at least 30 days before the expiration date, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(4)(A)(vii) and (c)(5)(B)(ii), as documented during a record review conducted on July 7, 2011. Specifically, the delivery certificate expired on September 14, 2010.
2. Failed to make available to a common carrier a valid, current TCEQ delivery certificate before accepting delivery of a regulated substance into the USTs, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.8(c)(5)(A)(i) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3467(a), as documented during a record review conducted on July 7, 2011. Specifically, one fuel delivery was accepted without a valid delivery certificate.

3. Failed to demonstrate acceptable financial assurance for taking corrective action and for compensating third parties for bodily injury and property damage caused by accidental releases arising from the operation of petroleum USTs, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 37.815(a) and (b), as documented during a record review conducted on July 7, 2011.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Carl Homeyer, Docket No. 2011-1278-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent.
3. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
4. This Agreed Order may be executed in separate and multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single instrument. Any page of this Agreed Order may be copied, scanned, digitized, converted to electronic portable document format ("pdf"), or otherwise reproduced and may be transmitted by digital or electronic transmission, including but not limited to facsimile transmission and electronic mail. Any signature affixed to this Agreed Order shall constitute an original signature for all purposes and may be used, filed, substituted, or issued for any purpose for which an original signature could be used. The term "signature" shall include manual signatures and true and accurate reproductions of manual signatures created, executed, endorsed, adopted, or authorized by the person or persons to whom the signatures are attributable. Signatures may be copied or reproduced digitally, electronically, by photocopying, engraving, imprinting, lithographing, electronic mail, facsimile transmission, stamping, or any other means or process which the Executive Director deems acceptable. In this paragraph

exclusively, the terms "electronic transmission", "owner", "person", "writing", and "written" shall have the meanings assigned to them under TEX. BUS. ORG. CODE § 1.002.

5. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission



For the Executive Director

11/17/11


Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.



Signature

25 September 2011

Date

Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of
Carl Homeyer

Title

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.